Roll No.												
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



PRESIDENCY UNIVERSITY

BENGALURU

End - Term Examinations - MAY 2025

School: SOL	Program: BALLB, BBALLB, BCOMLLB			
Course Code : LAW4006	Course Name: HUMAN RIGHTS LAW & PRACTICE			
Semester: VI	Max Marks: 100	Weightage: 50%		

CO - Levels	CO1	CO2	CO3	CO4	CO5
Marks	35	20	20	25	-

Instructions:

- (i) Read all questions carefully and answer accordingly.
- (ii) Do not write anything on the question paper other than roll number.

Part A

Answer ALL the Questions. Each question carries 2marks.

10Q x 2M=20M

	1		•	
1.	Discuss the procedure for the appointment of members of the National Human Rights Commission?	2 Marks	L1	CO3
2.	Discuss the committee responsible for the appointment of the	2 Marks	L1	CO3
	Chairperson of the National Commission for Women?	0.15		
3.	Does the African Charter recognize both individual and peoples' rights?	2 Marks	L1	CO3
4.	Discuss in brief the time during which the revised Arab Charter on	2 Marks	L1	CO1
	Human Rights came into force?			
5.	Discuss the crucial factors necessary for the realization of human rights	2 Marks	L1	CO3
	as per the Asian Charter.			
6.	Discuss the relevant provision for Human rights courts under the	2 Marks	L1	CO3
	Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993.			
7.	Define Social Action Litigation. How is it different from Public Interest	2 Marks	L1	CO1
	Litigation?			
8.	Briefly discuss any three rights provided under the International	2 Marks	L1	CO1
	Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR).			
9.	Discuss briefly the right to clean environment as a fundamental right.	2 Marks	L1	CO1
10.	Discuss efforts undertaken in India to protect and preserve the Right to	2 Marks	L1	CO1
	Food.			

Part B

		Answer the Questions.	Total Mark	s 80M	[
11.	a.	"Public interest litigation is a strategic arm of the legal aid	4 Marks	L3,	CC
		movement and which is intended to bring justice within the		L4	3
		reach of the poor masses, who constitute the low visibility area			
		of humanity.			
		In light of this statement, briefly explain the concept and			
		evolution of Public Interest Litigation in India			
	b.	Discuss two landmark PIL cases that significantly impacted	4 Marks	L3,	CO
		social justice in India.		L4	3
	C.	Critically analyze one major criticism of the misuse of PIL and	2 Marks	L3,	C
		suggest a possible reform.		L4	3
		Or		1	
12.	a.	The SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, aims to prevent	4 Marks	L3,	C
		offences against members of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled		L4	2
		Tribes. Answer the following:			
		Discuss the objectives of the SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities)			
		Act, 1989.			
	b.	Define "atrocity" as per the Act, by providing two examples.	4 Marks	L3,	C
	D.	befine acrossity as per the fiet, by providing two examples.	+ Marks	L3,	2
		How does the Act ensure speedy investigation and trial of	3 Marks	L3,	C
	C.	How does the Act ensure speedy investigation and trial of offences?	5 Mai KS	L3,	
		offences?		L4	2
13.	a.	The Protection of Civil Rights Act, 1955, aims to abolish	2 Marks	L3,	C
		untouchability in India. Answer the following:		L4	4
		Define "civil rights" as per the Act.			
	b.	What constitutes an offence of "denial of access to public	4 Marks	L3,	C
		places" under the Act?		L4	4
	C.	How does the Act fulfill the aspirations of Article 17 of the	4 Marks	L3,	C
		Indian Constitution?		L4	4
		Or		1	
14.	a.	Discuss any three rights provided by the International	4 Marks	L3,	C
		Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant		L4	1
		Workers & Members of their Families.			
	b.	Critically examine the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the	2 Marks	L3,	C
	.	rights and living conditions of migrant workers in India, with	2 Marks	L4	1
		reference to case laws and judicial responses.		LT	_
		, ,	4 Marks	12	C
	C.	Discuss the significance of the <i>People's Union for Democratic</i>	4 Marks	L3,	
		Rights and Ors v Union of India 1982 vis a vis rights of migrant		L4	1
		workers.]	
	I	The Indian judiciary has played a crucial role in protecting	4 Marks	L3,	C
15.	a.	The mulan judicially mas played a crucial role in protecting	Tilains	LJ.	•

		Constitution ensure equality of opportunity in public			
		employment for women?			
	b.	Discuss the significance of the Supreme Court's decisions in a	4 Marks	L3,	СО
		variety of cases concerning discrimination against women		L4	2
		employees with the help of relevant case laws.			
		Or		<u> </u>	
16.		To what extent can media trials undermine the right to a fair	10 Marks	L3,	СО
		trial? Critically discuss with reference to recent events.		L4	2
					ı
17.		Ananya, a qualified candidate with 60% visual impairment,	15 Marks	L3,	СО
		applies for a government job under the reserved category for		L4	4
		persons with disabilities. She clears the written examination and			
		interview but is denied appointment on the grounds that the			
		post involves "visual tasks" and thus she is "medically unfit." The			
		selection committee does not conduct any individualized			
		assessment or explore accommodations such as screen readers			
		or assistive technology.			
		Ananya files a writ petition alleging discrimination and violation			
		of her fundamental rights. The government defends its decision			
		by stating that certain posts require "able-bodied" candidates			
		and that the reservation policy does not apply if the disability is			
		"incompatible with job performance." In light of this scenario,			
		discuss the following:			
		How has the judiciary interpreted the obligation of the employer			
		to provide reasonable accommodation under the Rights of			
		Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016?			
		How does interpreting disability rights through a human rights			
		lens affect the scope and enforcement of equality and non-			
		discrimination in employment?			
		Or			
18.		Meera, a law graduate with a locomotor disability, applies for	15 Marks	L3,	СО
10.		admission to a state judicial service examination. While the	15 Mai KS	L3,	4
		Rules allow for a 4% horizontal reservation for persons with		LŦ	4
		-			
		disabilities under the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act,			
		2016, the notification for the current year's recruitment			
		excludes all disabled candidates on the ground that "judicial			
		officers must be able-bodied to handle fieldwork and			
		inspections." No alternative modes of assessment, reasonable			
		accommodations, or specific assessments of Meera's abilities are			
		conducted.			
		Meera challenges the exclusion before the High Court, arguing			
		that it violates her rights under the Constitution of India , the			
		RPWD Act, 2016, and the UN Convention on the Rights of			
				1	

	The State defends the exclusion as a matter of administrative			
	policy and occupational suitability.			
	Does the blanket exclusion of persons with disabilities from a			
	public post amount to discrimination under Indian			
	constitutional and statutory law?			
	How have Indian courts interpreted the State's duties under the			
	UNCRPD, and to what extent does Indian law align with its			
	principles?			
- 40		4 - 1 - 1	. .	
19.	Rafiq, an undertrial prisoner accused of theft, has been in jail for	15 Marks	L3,	CO
	over 18 months due to delays in his trial. He is kept in solitary		L4	1
	confinement for long hours, denied access to legal aid, and not			
	allowed to meet his family regularly. The prison authorities			
	justify these actions by citing "security concerns" and "limited			
	resources." An NGO files a writ petition before the High Court			
	challenging the prison's actions as a violation of Rafiq's			
	fundamental rights.			
	The State argues that Rafiq is being held lawfully under the CrPC,			
	and that prison regulations allow for discretion in handling			
	"difficult prisoners."			
	How has the Indian judiciary interpreted the rights of prisoners,			
	particularly under Articles 14, 19, and 21 of the Constitution?			
	Does solitary confinement or denial of legal aid to an undertrial			
	prisoner violate his constitutional and human rights?			
	How does a human rights-based approach transform the			
	understanding of incarceration in the Indian legal system?			
	0r			
20.	Priya, a junior research fellow at a government university,	15 Marks	L3,	CO
	complains that her supervisor has been making repeated		L4	1
	sexually suggestive comments and creating a hostile work			
	environment. When she reports the matter to the university, she			
	is told to "ignore it" and focus on her career. The Internal			
	Complaints Committee (ICC) has not been constituted in her			
	department, despite the requirements of the Sexual			
	Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention,			
	Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013 . Priya files a writ			
	petition alleging that the university's inaction violates her			
	fundamental rights.			
	The university defends itself by arguing that internal reforms are			
	underway and that no formal complaint was submitted in			
	writing.			
	How have Indian courts interpreted the right to a safe and			
	dignified workplace under Articles 14, 15, and 21 of the			
	Constitution in the context of sexual harassment? Discuss with			
	the help of relevant case laws.			
<u> </u>	the help of relevant case laws.			

	How does treating sexual harassment as a human rights issue			
	expand the scope of protection and accountability?			
	expand the scope of protection and accountability:			
21.	Aaliya and Rohit, two consenting adults from different religious backgrounds, decide to marry under the Special Marriage Act, 1954. When they submit their notice of intended marriage, the local marriage officer insists on displaying the notice publicly and informing their families, as per Section 6 of the Act. After this notice is posted, Aaliya's family threatens her with violence and files a false complaint against Rohit. Fearing for their safety, the couple petitions the High Court, arguing that the mandatory notice requirement violates their right to privacy, dignity, and autonomy. In light of this, discuss: How has the Indian judiciary interpreted the right to marry under Article 21 of the Constitution? How have courts balanced the right to marry with public order or community interests, especially in inter-faith or inter-caste	20 Marks	L3, L4	CO 1
	marriages?			
	0r			
22.	Amit, a 12-year-old boy with a learning disability, attends a government school in a rural area. Despite his strong desire to learn, Amit struggles with reading and writing, which makes it difficult for him to keep up with his classmates. His parents request the school authorities for additional support, such as a learning assistant or adapted materials. However, the school denies the request, stating that they do not have the resources or specialized teachers to accommodate his needs. They suggest that Amit should attend a special school for children with disabilities instead. Amit's parents, who are concerned about his future, file a petition before the local court, claiming that the school's refusal to provide reasonable accommodation violates Amit's fundamental right to education under Article 21A and the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016. In light of this, discuss: How has the Indian judiciary interpreted the right to education in India? Discuss the relevant cases particularly in the context of children with disabilities.	20 Marks	L3, L4	CO 4