

Roll No																			
---------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



PRESIDENCY UNIVERSITY

Presidency University Act, 2013 of the Karnataka Act No. 41 of 2013 | Established under Section 2(f) of UGC Act, 1956
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi | Approved By BCI
Bengaluru

Even Semester Mid Term, March 2026

Date: 14/03/2026

Time: 02:00 PM - 03:30 PM

Course Code: LAW2033

Course Name: Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita

Semester: Fourth Semester

Max. Marks: 50

Weightage: 50%

CO - Levels	CO1	CO2
Marks	90	90

PART-A: Answer Following Questions. 10 M

Qn.No	Questions	M	CO	BT
1	Differentiate between 'mistake of fact' and 'mistake of law' under criminal law.	2	CO2	BT2
2	Explain "Community Service" as a form of punishment under the <i>Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023</i> ?	2	CO1	BT2
3	What is meant by criminal conspiracy? State its essential ingredients.	2	CO2	BT1
4	Explain the concept of "attempt" in criminal law.	2	CO1	BT1
5	Examine the meaning and relevance of "Quickening" under <i>Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023</i>	2	CO2	BT1

PART-B: Answer Any 1 Following Questions. 10 M

Qn.No	Questions	M	CO	BT
6	<p>The accused "A" was intercepted while transporting paddy in a truck close to the Punjab-Haryana border. The prosecution alleged that the transportation was intended to unlawfully export the paddy outside the State of Punjab. At the time of interception, however, the goods had not crossed the State boundary.</p> <p>Analyse whether the conduct of the accused amounts to an attempt to commit an offence or remains at the stage of preparation.</p>	10	CO1	BT8

7	Critically examine the maxim " <i>actus non facit reum nisi mens sit rea.</i> " Analyse its significance in determining criminal liability and evaluate whether modern criminal law still adheres strictly to this principle.	10	CO1	BT8
---	---	----	-----	-----

PART-C: Answer Any 1 Following Questions. 10 M

Qn.No	Questions	M	CO	BT
8	Critically examine the case of " <i>R v. Dudley and Stephens</i> " regarding the defence of necessity. Evaluate the scope and limitations of necessity as a defence to criminal liability in light of this decision.	10	CO1	BT12
9	Critically examine the principles laid down in the case of <i>R v. McNaughten's</i> regarding the defence of unsoundness of mind. Evaluate its relevance in contemporary criminal jurisprudence.	10	CO1	BT12

PART-D: Answer Any 1 Following Questions. 10 M

Qn.No	Questions	M	CO	BT
10	" <i>The Two Finger Test violates a woman's right to privacy, dignity, and bodily integrity.</i> " Analyse how judicial pronouncements declaring the Two Finger Test unconstitutional have strengthened the protection of women under the <i>Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023</i> .	10	CO2	BT10
11	A, with the intention of causing the death of Z, instigates B, a child under seven years of age, to perform an act which results in Z's death. B carries out the act in the absence of A and causes Z's death. Analyse the criminal liability of both A and B under the <i>Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023</i> .	10	CO2	BT9

PART-E: Answer Any 1 Following Questions. 10 M

Qn.No	Questions	M	CO	BT
12	Evaluate whether intoxication as a defence strikes a fair balance between accountability and incapacity.	10	CO2	BT12
13	Under the <i>Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023</i> , the right of private defence in certain circumstances extends to voluntarily causing death. Analyse the scope and limitations of this right and assess whether the statutory framework adequately safeguards against misuse while preserving the value of human life.	10	CO2	BT12